arXiv:1610.09213v1 [math.CV] 28 Oct 2016

Infinitesimally extremal Beltrami differentials of non-landslide type

GUOWU YAO

July 23, 2015

Abstract

In this paper, it is shown that there are infinitely many extremal Beltrami differentials of non-landside type and non-constant modulus in an infinitesimal equivalence class unless the class contains a unique extremal.

1. Introduction

Let S be a plane domain with at least two boundary points. The Teichmüller space $T(S)$ is the space of equivalence classes of quasiconformal maps f from S to a variable domain $f(S)$. Two quasiconformal maps f from S to $f(S)$ and g from S to $g(S)$ are equivalent if there is a conformal map c from $f(S)$ onto $g(S)$ and a homotopy through quasiconformal maps h_t mapping S onto $g(S)$ such that $h_0 = c \circ f$, $h_1 = g$ and $h_t(p) = c \circ f(p) = g(p)$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and every p in the boundary of S. Denote by $[f]$ the Teichmüller equivalence class of f; also sometimes denote the equivalence class by $[\mu]$ where μ is the Beltrami differential of f.

Denote by $Bel(S)$ the Banach space of Beltrami differentials $\mu = \mu(z)d\bar{z}/dz$ on S with finite L^∞-norm and by $M(S)$ the open unit ball in $Bel(S)$.

For $\mu \in M(S)$, define

$$k_0([\mu]) = \inf\{\|\nu\|_\infty : \nu \in [\mu]\}.$$

We say that μ is extremal in $[\mu]$ if $\|\mu\|_\infty = k_0([\mu])$, and uniquely extremal if $\|\nu\|_\infty > k_0(\mu)$ for any other $\nu \in [\mu]$.

The cotangent space to $T(S)$ at the basepoint is the Banach space $Q(S)$ of integrable holomorphic quadratic differentials on S with L^1-norm

$$\|\varphi\| = \iint_S |\varphi(z)|\, dxdy < \infty.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C75, 30C62.

Key words and phrases. Teichmüller space, infinitesimally extremal, non-landslide extremal.

The author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11271216).

In what follows, let $Q^1(S)$ denote the unit sphere of $Q(S)$.

Two Beltrami differentials μ and ν in $Bel(S)$ are said to be infinitesimally equivalent if

$$\iint_S (\mu-\nu)\varphi\,dxdy = 0, \text{ for any } \varphi \in Q(S).$$

The tangent space $Z(S)$ of $T(S)$ at the basepoint is defined as the quotient space of $Bel(S)$ under the equivalence relation. Denote by $[\mu]_Z$ the equivalence class of μ in $Z(S)$. In particular, we use $\mathcal{N}(S)$ to denote the set of Beltrami differentials in $Bel(S)$ that is equivalent to 0.

$Z(S)$ is a Banach space and its standard sup-norm satisfies

$$\|[\mu]_Z\| = \|\mu\| := \sup_{\varphi\in Q^1(S)} Re\iint_S \mu\varphi\,dxdy = \inf\{\|\nu\|_\infty : \nu \in [\mu]_Z\}.$$

We say that μ is extremal (in $[\mu]_Z$) if $\|\mu\|_\infty = \|[\mu]_Z\|$, uniquely extremal if $\|\nu\|_\infty > \|\mu\|_\infty$ for any other $\nu \in [\mu]_Z$.

A Beltrami differential μ in $Bel(S)$ is said to be of landslide type if there exists a non-empty open subset $E \subset S$ such that

$$\operatorname*{esssup}_{z\in E} |\mu(z)| < \|\mu\|_\infty;$$

otherwise, μ is said to be of non-landslide type.

The conception of "non-landslide" was firstly introduced by Li in [4] for extremal Beltrami differentials. Here, we generalize the definition for general case. In particular, a unique extremal is naturally of non-landslide type.

Let Δ be the unit disk in the complex plane. In [4], Z. Li investigated non-uniqueness of extremal Beltrami differentials of non-landslide type in a Teichmüller equivalence class in the universal Teichmüller space $T(\Delta)$ and proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. *There is a point $[\mu]$ in $T(\Delta)$ such that $[\mu]$ contains infinitely many extremal Beltrami differentials of non-landslide type with non-constant modulus.*

In [4], Li posed the following problem.

Problem. *For any given $[\mu]$, is there always an extremal Beltrami differential $\widetilde{\mu}$ in $[\mu]$ which is of non-landslide type?*

In [2], Fan answered the problem affirmatively and proved that if $[\mu]$ contains infinitely many extremals, then there always exist infinitely many extremals of non-landslide type in $[\mu]$. The author gave a more precise formulation for the problem in [13] by use of variability set and point shift differentials, that is,

Theorem B. *Let $[\mu]$ be given in $T(S)$. Then either each extremal in $[\mu]$ is of non-landslide type or there are infinitely many non-landslide extremals of non-constant modulus in $[\mu]$.*

The goal of this paper is to show a strengthened counterpart of Theorem B in the infinitesimal setting.

Theorem 1. *Let $[\mu]_Z$ be given in $Z(S)$. Then $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many non-landslide extremals of non-constant modulus unless $[\mu]_Z$ contains a unique extremal.*

Unfortunately, due to the loss of the notion of boundary map and variability set, the required point shift differentials (see [9]) in the proof of Theorem B is no longer available. To overcome the difficulty, we develop a new technique in a self-contained way.

One must not expect that there always exists a non-landslide extremal of constant modulus in $[\mu]_Z$. The reason is that each extremal in $[\mu]_Z$ can be of non-constant modulus (see [1, 12]). Therefore, we have a direct corollary.

Corollary 1.1. *There is a point $[\mu]_Z$ in $Z(S)$ such that $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many non-landslide extremals and each non-landslide extremal in $[\mu]_Z$ is of non-constant modulus.*

We will prove some lemmas in Section 2. Theorem 1 will be proved in Section 3. In addition, we define the local dilatation and discuss the landslide set for an infinitesimal equivalence class in the last section.

2. Some lemmas

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\nu \in Bel(\Delta)$. Then for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $r \in (0,1)$ and $\mu \in Bel(\Delta)$ such that*
(1) $\mu \in \mathcal{N}(\Delta)$, (2) $\mu(z) = \nu(z)$, $z \in \Delta_r$, (3) $\|\mu|_{U_r}\|_\infty < \epsilon$,
where $\Delta_r = \{z \in \Delta : |z| < r\}$, $r \in (0,1)$ and $U_r = \Delta \backslash \Delta_r$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 in [5], there exists a unique function $\beta(z)$, holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\overline{\Delta_r}$, such that

$$\mu(z) = \begin{cases} \nu(z), & z \in \Delta_r, \\ \beta(z), & z \in U_r, \end{cases}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$; namely,

$$\beta(z) = -\frac{z}{\pi(1-r^2)} \iint_{\Delta_r} \frac{\nu(z)}{\zeta - z} d\xi d\eta, \ z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\overline{\Delta_r}.$$

To complete the proof of this lemma, it is sufficient to show that $\|\beta|_{U_r}\|_\infty < \epsilon$ for small $r > 0$. We need to valuate $|\beta(z)|$ for $z \in U_r$. Let z' be the intersection point of the segment $\overline{oz}$ with the circle $\{|\zeta| = r\}$ and $B_r = \{|\zeta - z'| < \frac{r}{2}\}$. Then for $z \in U_r$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta(z)| &= \frac{|z|}{\pi(1-r^2)} \left| \iint_{\Delta_r} \frac{\nu(z)}{\zeta - z} d\xi d\eta \right| \le \frac{\|\nu\|_\infty}{\pi(1-r^2)} \iint_{\Delta_r} \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} d\xi d\eta \\ &\le M \iint_{\Delta_r} \frac{1}{|\zeta - z'|} d\xi d\eta \le M \left(\iint_{B_r} \frac{1}{|\zeta - z'|} d\xi d\eta + \iint_{\Delta_r \backslash B_r} \frac{1}{|\zeta - z'|} d\xi d\eta \right) \\ &\le M \left(\pi r + \frac{2}{r} \iint_{\Delta_r} d\xi d\eta \right) = 3M\pi r = \frac{3\pi \|\nu\|_\infty r}{\pi(1-r^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \frac{\|\nu\|_\infty}{\pi(1-r^2)}$. This lemma follows readily.

□

Generally, for a given Beltrami differential $\mu \in Bel(S)$ and a point $p \in S$, define

$$\sigma(\mu, p) = \inf\{\operatorname*{esssup}_{z\in U} |\mu(z)| : U \text{ is an open neighborhood in } S \text{ containing } p\}$$

to be the local dilatation of μ at p. If $\sigma(\mu, p) = \|\mu\|_\infty$, we call p a non-landslide point of μ, otherwise, p a landslide point of μ. The collection of all landslide points of μ is called the landslide set of μ, denoted by $LS(\mu)$. We call $NSL(\mu) = S\backslash LS(\mu)$ the non-landslide set of μ. It is possible that $LS(\mu) = S$. It is obvious that $LS(\mu)$ is an open subset of S. In particular, μ is non-landslide if and only if $LS(\mu) = \emptyset$.

Remark 1. *The local boundary dilatation of μ is defined for the boundary points (see Chapter 17 in [3]). Here, we generalize the notion for the inner points. Generally, $\sigma(\mu, p)$ and $|\mu(p)|$ are two different quantities for $p \in S$ and $\sigma(\mu, p) \geq |\mu(p)|$ for almost all $p \in S$ by Lebesgue's Theorem.*

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\Delta(\zeta, r)$ the round disk $\{z : |z - \zeta| < r\}$ $(r > 0)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $\mu \in Bel(S)$ and $LS(\mu) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\alpha \in Bel(S)$ with $\|\alpha\|_\infty \leq \|\mu\|_\infty$. Then, for any $\zeta \in LS(\mu)$, there exists a disk $\Delta(\zeta, r)$ in $LS(\mu)$ and $\nu \in [\mu]_Z$ such that $\nu = \alpha$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$, $\|\nu\|_\infty = \|\mu\|_\infty$ and $\nu(z) = \mu(z)$ on $NLS(\mu)$. In particular, ν vanishes on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$ when $\alpha = 0$.*

Proof. Choose sufficiently small $\rho > 0$ such that the disk $D = \{|z-\zeta| < \rho\}$ is contained in $LS(\mu)$. Restrict μ on D. Then $\|\mu|_D\|_\infty < \|\mu\|_\infty$. Let $\epsilon = \frac{\|\mu\|_\infty - \|\mu|_D\|_\infty}{2}$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to D, we can find some small $r \in (0, \rho)$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{N}(D)$ such that $\chi = \alpha - \mu$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$ and $\|\chi\|_\infty < \epsilon$ on $D\backslash\Delta(\zeta, r)$. Put

$$\nu(z) = \begin{cases} \chi(z) + \mu(z), & z \in D, \\ \mu(z), & z \in S\backslash D. \end{cases}$$

Then $\nu \in [\mu]_Z$ and $\nu = \alpha$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$. It is clear that $\|\nu\|_\infty = \|\mu\|_\infty$ and $\nu(z) = \mu(z)$ on $NLS(\mu)$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $\mu \in Bel(S)$ with $\|\mu\|_\infty = k$. Let $\mathscr{U} = \{\alpha \in [\mu]_Z : \|\alpha\|_\infty = k\}$. Then there exists a Beltrami differential $\nu \in \mathscr{U}$ such that $\nu(z) = 0$ a.e. on $LS(\nu)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we can choose $\chi \in \mathscr{U}$ such that $NLS(\chi) \neq \emptyset$. If in addition $LS(\chi) = \emptyset$, then $\nu = \chi$ is the desired Beltrami differential. Otherwise, $LS(\chi)$ is a non-empty open subset in S. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a Beltrami differential $\eta \in \mathscr{U}$ such that

(1) $\eta(z) = \chi(z)$ on $NLS(\chi)$,
(2) $\eta(z) = 0$ on some small disk $\Delta(z_0', r_0') \subset LS(\chi)$.

Let Λ_0 denote the collection of $\alpha \in \mathscr{U}$ with the following conditions:

(a) $\alpha(z) = \eta(z)$ on $NLS(\eta)$,
(b) there exists some small disk $\Delta(\zeta, r) \subset S$ such that $\alpha(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$.

It is obvious that $\eta \in \Lambda_0$. If $\alpha \in \Lambda_0$, let

$$\rho_0(\alpha) = \sup\{r : \ \alpha(z) = 0 \text{ on some } \Delta(\zeta, r) \subset S\}.$$

Put

$$\rho_0 = \sup\{\rho_0(\alpha) : \ \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}.$$

We proceed with the construction of a sequence of Beltrami differentials $\{\chi_n\}$ in $\mathscr{U}$.

$n = 0$) Choose $\chi_0 \in \Lambda_0$ such that $\rho_0(\chi_0) \geq r_0 := \frac{\rho_0}{2}$ and $\chi_0(z) = 0$ on some $\Delta(z_0, r_0) \subset S$. If $\chi_0(z) = 0$ a.e. on $LS(\chi_0)$, then $\nu = \chi_0$ is the desired Beltrami differential. Otherwise, by the definition of local dilatation there exists $z_1' \in LS(\chi_0)$ such that $\sigma(\chi_0, z_1') \in (0, k)$. Again by Lemma 2.2, there exists a Beltrami differential $\eta_0 \in \Lambda_0$ such that
(1) $\eta_0(z) = \chi_0(z)$ on $NLS(\chi_0)$,
(2) $\eta_0(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(z_0, r_0)$,
(3) $\eta_0(z) = 0$ on some small disk $\Delta(z_1', r_1') \subset LS(\chi_0) \backslash \Delta(z_0, r_0)$.

Let Λ_1 denote the collection of $\alpha \in \Lambda_0$ with the following conditions:
(a) $\alpha(z) = \eta_0(z)$ on $NLS(\eta_0)$,
(b) $\alpha(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(z_0, r_0)$,
(c) there exists some small disk $\Delta(\zeta, r) \subset S \backslash \Delta(z_0, r_0)$ such that $\alpha(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$.

It is obvious that $\eta_0 \in \Lambda_1$. If $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$, let

$$\rho_1(\alpha) = \sup\{r : \ \alpha(z) = 0 \text{ on some } \Delta(\zeta, r) \subset S \backslash \Delta(z_0, r_0)\}.$$

Put

$$\rho_1 = \sup\{\rho_1(\alpha) : \ \alpha \in \Lambda_1\}.$$

$n = 1$) Choose $\chi_1 \in \Lambda_1$ such that $\rho_1(\chi_1) \geq r_1 := \frac{\rho_1}{2}$ and $\chi_1(z) = 0$ on some $\Delta(z_1, r_1) \subset S$. If $\chi_1(z) = 0$ a.e. on $LS(\chi_1)$, then $\nu = \chi_1$ is the desired Beltrami differential. Otherwise, by the definition of local dilatation there exists $z_2' \in LS(\chi_1)$ such that $\sigma(\chi_1, z_2') \in (0, k)$. Again by Lemma 2.2, there exists a Beltrami differential $\eta_1 \in \Lambda_1$ such that
(1) $\eta_1(z) = \chi_1(z)$ on $NLS(\chi_1)$,
(2) $\eta_1(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(z_0, r_0) \cup \Delta(z_1, r_1)$,
(3) $\eta_1(z) = 0$ on some small disk $\Delta(z_2', r_2') \subset LS(\chi_1) \backslash (\Delta(z_0, r_0) \cup \Delta(z_1, r_1))$.

Let Λ_2 denote the collection of $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$ with the following conditions:
(a) $\alpha(z) = \eta_1(z)$ on $NLS(\eta_1)$,
(b) $\alpha(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(z_0, r_0) \cup \Delta(z_1, r_1)$,
(c) there exists some small disk $\Delta(\zeta, r) \subset S \backslash (\Delta(z_0, r_0) \cup \Delta(z_1, r_1))$ such that $\alpha(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$.

It is obvious that $\eta_1 \in \Lambda_2$. If $\alpha \in \Lambda_2$, let

$$\rho_2(\alpha) = \sup\{r : \ \alpha(z) = 0 \text{ on some } \Delta(\zeta, r) \subset S \backslash (\Delta(z_0, r_0) \cup \Delta(z_1, r_1))\}.$$

Put

$$\rho_2 = \sup\{\rho_2(\alpha) : \ \alpha \in \Lambda_2\}.$$

$n \to n+1$) If we can choose a $\chi_n \in \Lambda_n$ such that $\chi_n(z) = 0$ a.e. on $LS(\chi_n)$, then let $\nu = \chi_n$. Otherwise, as the previous, we can find an $\eta_n \in \Lambda_n$ such that
(1) $\eta_n(z) = \chi_n(z)$ on $NLS(\chi_n)$,
(2) $\eta(z) = 0$ on $\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \Delta(z_k, r_k))$,
(3) $\eta_n(z) = 0$ on some small disk $\Delta(z'_{n+1}, r'_{n+1}) \subset LS(\chi_n) \backslash (\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \Delta(z_k, r_k))$.

Proceeding as above, we find five sequences, $\{\chi_n \in \Lambda_n\}$, $\{\eta_n \in \Lambda_n\}$, $\{\Lambda_n \subset \mathscr{U}\}$, $\{\rho_n\}$, $\{\Delta(z_n, r_n) \subset S\}$ $(r_n = \frac{\rho_n}{2})$ as follows.

$\chi_n \in \Lambda_n$ satisfies $\rho_n(\chi_n) \geq r_n := \frac{\rho_n}{2}$ and

$$\chi_n(z) = 0 \text{ on some } \Delta(z_n, r_n) \subset S \backslash (\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \Delta(z_k, r_k)).$$

Λ_{n+1} is the collection of $\alpha \in \Lambda_n$ with the following conditions:
(a) $\alpha(z) = \eta_n(z)$ on $NLS(\eta_n)$,
(b) $\alpha(z) = 0$ on $\bigcup_{k=0}^{n} \Delta(z_k, r_k))$,
(c) there exists some small disk $\Delta(\zeta, r) \subset S \backslash (\bigcup_{k=0}^{n} \Delta(z_k, r_k))$ such that $\alpha(z) = 0$ on $\Delta(\zeta, r)$.

It is obvious that $\eta_n \in \Lambda_{n+1}$. If $\alpha \in \Lambda_{n+1}$, let

$$\rho_{n+1}(\alpha) = \sup\{r : \ \alpha(z) = 0 \text{ on } \Delta(\zeta, r) \subset S \backslash (\bigcup_{k=0}^{n} \Delta(z_k, r_k))\}.$$

Put

$$\rho_{n+1} = \sup\{\rho_{n+1}(\alpha) : \ \alpha \in \Lambda_{n+1}\}.$$

It is clear that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \rho_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $B_n = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n} \Delta(z_k, r_k)$, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$. Then

$$B = \lim_{n\to\infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta(z_n, r_n) \subset S.$$

By the *-weak compactness, there exists a subsequence of $\{\chi_n\}$, still denoted by $\{\chi_n\}$, which converges to a limit $\nu \in Bel(S)$ in the *-weak topology, that is, for any $\phi \in L^1(S)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \iint_S \chi_n(z)\phi(z)dxdy = \iint_S \nu(z)\phi(z)dxdy. \tag{2.2}$$

When $\phi \in Q(S)$, since $\chi_n \in [\mu]_Z$, we have

$$\iint_S \chi_n(z)\phi(z)dxdy = \iint_S \mu(z)\phi(z)dxdy \text{ for all } n.$$

Therefore,

$$\iint_S \nu(z)\phi(z)dxdy = \iint_S \mu(z)\phi(z)dxdy$$

for all $\phi \in Q(S)$ and hence $\nu \in [\mu]_Z$. On the other hand, since χ_n converges to ν in the *-weak topology, it follows by the standard functional analysis theory that

$$\|\nu\|_\infty \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|\chi_n\|_\infty = k.$$

Claim. $\nu \in \mathscr{U}$ and $\nu(z) = 0$ a.e. on $LS(\nu)$.

Firstly, by the inductive construction, we see that $\chi_{n+1}(z) = \chi_n(z)$ on $NLS(\chi_n)$. So it holds that $\nu(z) = \chi_n(z)$ on $NLS(\chi_n)$ for all n. Since $NLS(\chi_n) \supseteq NLS(\chi)$, we have $\|\nu\|_\infty = k$ and hence $\nu \in \mathscr{U}$.

Secondly, since $\chi_n(z) = 0$ on B_n, we find that $\chi_n(z) \to 0$ for all $z \in B$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, by the uniqueness of *-weak limit, we must have $\nu(z) = 0$ on B.

Now we show that $\nu(z) = 0$ a.e. on $LS(\nu)$. Suppose to the contrary. Then there exists a point $p \in LS(\nu)$ such that $\sigma(p, \nu) \in (0, k)$. Applying Lemma 2.2, we can find a Beltrami differential $\nu' \in \mathscr{U}$ such that
(1) $\nu'(z) = \nu(z)$ on $NLS(\nu)$,
(2) $\nu'(z) = 0$ on B,
(3) $\nu'(z) = 0$ on some small disk $\Delta(p, r) \subset S\backslash B$.

It is obvious that ν' belongs to $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_n$. However, by (2.1) it contradicts the choice of χ_n. The claim is proved, so is the lemma.

□

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 3.1. *Let $S = \Delta$. Fix $k > 0$. Then (1) there exist infinitely many Beltrami differentials $\mu \in [0]_Z$ such that each μ is non-landslide and has a constant modulus k; (2) there exist infinitely many Beltrami differentials $\mu \in [0]_Z$ such that each μ is non-landslide with $\|\mu\|_\infty = k$ but μ has not a constant modulus.*

Proof. (1) Let $\mu_n(z) = k\frac{z^n}{|z|^n}$ for integer number $n \geq 1$. It is easy to verify that $\mu_n \in [0]_Z$. It is obvious that each μ_n is non-landslide and has a constant modulus k.

(2) Let $E \subset \Delta$ be a compact subset with positive measure and empty interior. Since $\Delta\backslash E$ is a non-empty open subset of Δ, we can choose two sequences $\{p_n\}$ in $\Delta\backslash E$ and $\{r_n\}$ in $(0, 1)$ respectively such that

$$\Delta\backslash E = A \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{r_n}(p_n) \text{ and } B_{r_i}(p_i) \bigcap B_{r_j}(p_j) = \emptyset,\ i \neq j,$$

where A is a set of measure 0 and $B_{r_n}(p_n) := B_n$ denotes the round disk centered at p_n with radius r_n. Keep $\mu(z) = 0$ on E. We apply (1) on B_n and then obtain infinitely many η which are infinitesimally equivalent to $0|_{B_n}$ on B_n with modulus $|\eta(z)| \equiv k$ on B_n. Modify $0|_{B_n}$ on every B_n in such an equivalent way. Then we can find infinitely many Beltrami differentials $\mu \in [0]_Z$ such that each μ is non-landslide with $\|\mu\|_\infty = k$ but μ has not a constant modulus since $\mu(z) \equiv 0$ on E.

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that the extremal in $[\mu]_Z$ is not unique. We show that $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many extremal Beltrami differentials of non-landslide type.

Case 1. μ contains an extremal of landslide type.

By Lemma 2.3, there is an extremal Beltrami differential in $[\mu]_Z$, say μ, such that $LS(\mu) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mu(z) = 0$ on $LS(\mu)$. We start from μ to produce infinitely many extremal Beltrami differentials of non-landslide type in $[\mu]_Z$.

Since $LS(\mu)$ is a non-empty open subset of S, we can choose two sequences $\{p_n\}$ in $LS(\mu)$ and $\{r_n\}$ in $(0,1)$ respectively such that

$$LS(\mu) = A \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{r_n}(p_n) \text{ and } B_{r_i}(p_i) \bigcap B_{r_j}(p_j) = \emptyset,\ i \neq j,$$

where A is a set of measure 0 and $B_{r_n}(p_n) := B_n$ denotes the round disk centered at p_n with radius r_n. Notice that $[\mu|_{B_n}]_Z = [0|_{B_n}]_Z$ on every B_n where we regard $[\mu|_{B_n}]_Z$ as a point in the space $Z(B_n)$. We apply Lemma 3.1 on B_n and then find $\eta \in [\mu|_{B_n}]_Z$ with modulus $|\eta(z)| \equiv \|[\mu]_Z\|$ on B_n. Modifying μ on every B_n in such an equivalent way, we then get infinitely many non-landslide extremals in $[\mu]_Z$.

To obtain infinitely many non-landslide extremals of non-constant modulus in $[\mu]_Z$, we only need to modify $\mu|_B$ into $\eta|_B$ on some disk B in $LS(\mu)$, say $B = B_1$ such that $\eta|_B$ is of non-landslide type and non-constant modulus with respect to the quantity $\|[\mu]_Z\|$. This can be done by applying Lemma 3.1 (2).

Case 2. Each extremal in $[\mu]_Z$ is of non-landslide type (see **Remark** 2).

If all extremals in $[\mu]_Z$ are of non-constant modulus, the proof is a fortiori. Assume, that $[\mu]_Z$ contains at least an extremal of constant modulus, say ν. Generally, it is known [7, 10] that $[\mu]_Z$ contains at least an extremal of non-constant modulus unless $[\mu]_Z$ contains a unique extremal of constant modulus. Say, μ is an extremal of non-constant modulus. Consider the Beltrami differentials curve $\eta_t = t\mu + (1-t)\nu$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then, $\eta_t \in [\mu]_Z$ and $|\eta_t(z)| \leq t|\mu(z)| + (1-t)|\nu(z)| \leq \|[\mu]_Z\|$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and almost all $z \in S$. Hence every η_t is extremal in $[\mu]_Z$. It is clear that $\eta_t \neq \eta_s$ if $t \neq s$ in $[0,1]$. Moreover, for every $t \in (0,1)$, η_t is non-landslide and of non-constant modulus. The concludes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 2. *It is an open problem whether there exists $[\mu]_Z$ such that the extremal in $[\mu]_Z$ is not unique and each extremal in $[\mu]_Z$ is non-landslide.*

4. On landslide set of an infinitesimal class

Let $\mu \in Bel(S)$. Define the quantity

$$\sigma([\mu]_Z, p) = \inf\{\sigma(\eta, p) : \eta \text{ is an extremal in } [\mu]_Z\}$$

to be the local dilatation of $[\mu]_Z$ at $p \in S$. If $\sigma([\mu]_Z, p) = \|\mu\|$, we call p a non-landslide point of $[\mu]_Z$; otherwise p a landslide point of $[\mu]_Z$. The collection of all landslide points of $[\mu]_Z$ is called the landslide set of $[\mu]_Z$, denoted by $LS([\mu]_Z)$. $LS([\mu]_Z)$ is clearly an open subset in S.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $[\mu]_Z \in Z(S)$. Then for any $p \in S$, we have either $\sigma([\mu]_Z, p) = 0$ or $\sigma([\mu]_Z, p) = \|[\mu]_Z\|$.*

Proof. Suppose $\sigma([\mu]_Z, p) < \|\mu\|$. Then there exists some extremal in $[\mu]$, say μ, and some small disk B_r in S with center p and radius r such that $\operatorname{esssup}_{z\in B_r} |\mu(z)| < \|\mu\|$. Restricted on B_r, there exists some $\eta \in [\mu|_{B_r}]$ such that $\|\eta\|_\infty < \|\mu\|$ and $\eta(z) \equiv 0$ on some small disk B_δ in B_r with center p and radius $\delta < r$ by Lemma 2.2. This gives $\sigma([\mu]_Z, p) = 0$. □

A Beltrami differential μ is called to be locally extremal if for any subdomain $G \subset S$, it is extremal in its class in $Z(G)$. The notion of *locally extremal* was first introduced by Sheretov in [8].

The following three conditions are equivalent:
(i) all extremals in $[\mu]_Z$ are locally extremal;
(ii) each extremal in $[\mu]_Z$ is non-landslide;
(iii) $\sigma([\mu]_Z, p) = \|\mu\|$ for all $p \in S$, i.e. $LS([\mu]_Z) = \emptyset$.

There is no essential relation among local extremals and non-landslide extremals. In fact, a local extremal can be of landslide type due to the construction in Theorem 1 of [11]. The example of a local extremal (not a unique extremal) of constant modulus was first given by Reich in [6].

The following theorem says that every component of $LS([\mu]_Z)$ has no holes.

Theorem 2. *Let $[\mu]_Z \in Z(S)$. Then every component of $LS([\mu]_Z)$ is simply-connected.*

Proof. If $LS([\mu]_Z) = \emptyset$, the theorem is a fortiori. Suppose $LS([\mu]_Z) \neq \emptyset$. Assume, by contradiction, that some component D of $LS([\mu]_Z)$ has holes. Since $LS([\mu]_Z)$ is open, we can choose a Jordan curve C in D such that the Jordan domain J contoured by C is contained in S and there is at least a hole denoted by H located in J. Notice that the hole H is actually a connected component of $S\backslash LS([\mu]_Z)$.

For any point $p \in C$, by Proposition 4.1, there exists some extremal ν_p in $[\mu]_Z$ such that $\nu_p(z) \equiv 0$ on a small disk $B_{r_p}(p)$ in D with center p and radius r_p. When p varies along C, all such $B_{r_p}(p)$ cover the curve c. By the finite covering theorem, we can choose finite disks $B_{r_{p_j}}(p_j)$ $(j = 1, 2\cdots, n)$ covering C. Let ν_{p_j} $(j = 1, 2\cdots, n)$ be these corresponding extremals. Put

$$\eta = \frac{\nu_{p_1} + \nu_{p_2} + \cdots + \nu_{p_n}}{n}.$$

Then $\eta \in [\mu]_Z$ and η is an extremal. In particular, we see that $\sigma(\eta, p) \leq \frac{n-1}{n}\|[\mu]_Z\|$ for all points p in $R = \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_{r_{p_j}}(p_j)$. Set $\widetilde{J} = J \bigcup R$. Then $\widetilde{J}$ is also a Jordan domain in S. Now, one easily shows that η is not extremal restricted on $\widetilde{J}$ and hence $\sigma(\eta, p) < \|[\mu]_Z\|$ for all $p \in \widetilde{J}$. Whereas, for $p \in H$, we have $\sigma([\mu]_Z, p) = \|[\mu]_Z\|$, which is a desired contradiction. □

References

[1] V. Božin, N. Lakic and V. Marković and M. Mateljević, *Unique extremality,* J. Anal. Math. 75 (1998), 299-338.

[2] J. Fan, *On extremal quasiconformal mappings of non-landslide type,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), no. 8, 2729-2733.

[3] F. P. Gardiner and N. Lakic, *Quasiconformal Teichmüller Theory,* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 76, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2000.

[4] Z. Li, *A note on extremal quasiconformal mappings,* Sci. China, Ser. A, 53 (2010), 63-70.

[5] E. Reich, *An extremum problem for analytic functions with area norm,* Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. 2 (1976), 429-445.

[6] E. Reich, *On the uniqueness question for Hahn-Banach extensions from the space of L^1 analytic functions,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983), 305-310.

[7] E. Reich, *Non-uniquely extremal quasiconformal mappings,* Libertas Mathematica, 20 (2000), 33-38.

[8] V. G. Sheretov, *Locally extremal quasiconformal mappings,* Soviet Math. Dokl. 21 (1980), 343,345.

[9] K. Strebel, *Point shift differentials and extremal quasiconformal mappings,* Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 23 (1998), 475-494.

[10] G. W. Yao and Y. Qi, *On the modulus of extremal Beltrami coefficients*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 46 (2006), 235-247.

[11] G. W. Yao, *Unique extremality, local extremality and extremal non-decreasable dilatations,* Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 75 (2007), 321-329.

[12] G. W. Yao, *Existence of extremal Beltrami coefficients with non-constant modulus,* Nagoya Math. J. 199 (2010), 1-14.

[13] G. W. Yao, *Extremal Beltrami differentials of non-landslide type,* Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. to appear.

Guowu Yao
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Tsinghua University
Beijing, 100084, People's Republic of China
E-mail: gwyao@math.tsinghua.edu.cn